DS044B - Schedule of Submissions

		City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning S Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Subn			
Numb	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation				

Western Power

Unfortunately requests for general comments, feedback and approval This is considered to be general development for proposals can't be provided for without a formal application.

advice and the applicant will be advised accordingly.

No change be made to the amendment

We suggest reviewing your query against the processes referred to in our Strategic Planning web page:

- Using our provided mapping tools and Before You Dig Australia to locate any assets that may be affected by any proposed change or development,
- Consider the proposal against our Products and Services,
- If there are transmission assets in proximity to your work, applying via our move or remove transmission and communication assets form; and
- Ensuring any developers involved are aware that they will need to make an application to deal with any assets that are in the development area as well as for the power requirements for the development.

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1	
Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions	

Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment

City of Greater (3eraldton − l	Local Plann	ing Scheme No. 1	
Amendmer	nt No. 18 - S	chedule of S	Submissions	

Number

Submitter

Nature of Submission

	City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation				

9	Department of
	Primary Industries
	and Regional
	Development

No objection

The amendment report has adequately considered State Planning Policy 2.5 Rural Planning and assessed the potential impact on high quality agricultural land. Proposed licence and works approvals for the facility will minimise adverse impacts to neighbouring farming properties.

DPIRD comments relating to the consideration of SPP 2.5 and impact on high quality agricultural land is noted.

No change be made to the amendment in response to this submission.

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1					
	Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation					

10	Department of Fire &	The referral for the above scheme amendment from the City did not	As per the comments received by DFES, State	The amendment
	Emergency Services	include a Bushfire Management Plan. Comments provided within the	Planning Policy 3.7 Planning in Bushfire Prone	be modified to
		planning report noting that SPP 3.7 can be assessed at future stages	Areas requires strategic planning proposals to	update Table 3.5
			consider and address high order bushfire	with any relevant
		should be prepared as early as possible in the planning process.	hazard.	Bushfire
				Management Plan
		DFES have provided a response to the SDAU which includes	It is noted that a completed BMP was	recommendations
		comments following assessment of the bushfire management plan. It	submitted by the applicant as part of the	and detail how
		is requested that both the BMP and these comments are include this	development application to the SDAU.	these have been
		as part of the assessment of the Scheme Amendment, to ensure that		addressed.
		bushfire is considered at this stage.		
		businile is considered at this stage.	Table 3.5 within the scheme amendment report	
			should be updated with an outline of the	
			recommendations of the BMP relevant to the	
			strategic planning phase (including any	
			changes required as per DFES comments).	
			This update should also address how any	
			relevant recommendations have been met by	
			the scheme amendment.	
['] 11	Local resident	Object	I	ı

Issues raised within submission relate to:

- Treatment of first nations people within Australia and impacts of health, living standards and family connections,
- Concerns 6

	City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions					
Number	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation					

A processing vanadium facility should not be located in close proximity to farming areas which grow food and stock sheep. Agricultural production is just as important as mining and minerals processing.

Impact Assessment and made statements that investigations have confirmed that no impacts

City of Greater Geraldton

		City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning S Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Subn				
Number	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation					

	sustainable level of extraction.	(DWER).	response to this
			submission.
	Given this plant will be operational for the next 25-100 years it will have a massive impact on this groundwater source and they are saying they will basically just see how the water extraction goes and make adjustments as needed which we all know will not occur once production has commenced, as it will stall mining production and	planning consideration as part of the scheme amendment process.	
	report to the EPA by AVL)		
'	Road network deterioration and safety.	Geraldton Mt Magnet Road is a 300km state	1

Geraldton Mt Magnet Road is already struggling to be maintained in a safe condition under the pressure of the mining trucks already using it from the mines east of Mullewa.

Increased heavy and light vehicles would make this road far more unsafe than it already is.

We use this road to haul our grains to port for export and an increase in movements on this road would negatively impact our efficiencies to carry out this important activity in our business.

Geraldton Mt Magnet Road is a 300km state route connecting rural producers and mining operations over an extensive area to Geraldton. Operations along 180.5 reW*nnurte (

	City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions					
Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation						

impact. If a load is assessed with contaminants, then farmers will stand to lose thousands of dollars per truck load. There are already tight regulations on what chemicals we can use on the grain we produce that is all exported.

These new contaminants from this facility could negatively affect the

		City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning S Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Subn			
Numbe	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation				

It is considered that the assessment of the impact area, has not been appropriately demonstrated by the provision and evidence of technical assessments and modelling as detailed by SPP 4.1 and SPP 2.5.	Planning and State Planning Policy 4.1 Industrial Interface.
It must be demonstrated and confirmed that there is no loss of agricultural productivity to the surrounding land given the area is specifically used for food production.	
This should determine a suitable separation distance from the plant to any sensitive land use (including land for food production) and whether any statutory buffers are required to be included as part of the rezoning.	

Rezoning this land would set a precedence for other major i1254.5

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1	
Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions	

Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation

	City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1				
	Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation				

The adjacent farming property boundary fence is 122 metres from the proposed solar array and 472 metres from the processing plant development site. Concerned regarding the noise, dust, light, visual and airborne contamination that will be experienced by the dwellings.	technical assessment and appropriately modelled and demonstrated. This would provide surety as to the potential impacts on surrounding land and sensitive land uses. It also confirms whether any buffer or separation distances are required to be protected via a Planning Scheme. The applicant has provided an Environmental Impact Assessment and made statements that investigations have confirmed that no impacts will encroach beyond the property boundaries of Lots 40 & 41. It is considered that this does not meet the requirements of SPP 2.5 and SPP 4.1 in appropriately demonstrated this through the provision and evidence of technical assessment. A visual impact assessment was included within the SDAU development application report but focused only on viewsheds from Geraldton Mt Magnet Road and not from adjacent property. It is considered that visual amenity concerns and light impacts should be addressed as part of the development application process.	assessment and modelling the applicable impact area, separation distance and buffer zone as required in accordance with State Planning Policy 2.5 Rural Planning and State Planning Policy 4.1 Industrial Interface.
Plans for a new home to be located nearby the closest homestead, have been completed and finance approved for construction in 2024. However, progress has been suspended pending the outcome of the processing plant.	permissible for additional housing to be established in close proximity to the proposed processing plant.	The amendment be modified to update Section 3.1 of the report to appropriately demonstrate

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1	
Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions	

Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation

	City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions					
Number	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation					

- (a) sign an annual self-declaration that it meets and complies with sustainable and responsible farm practice principles;
- (b) undergo randomly selected annual on-farm audit by an ISCC certification body;
- (c) demonstrate compliance by providing evidence and documentation that crops are grown using environmentally responsible practices;
- (d) prove no land conversion (ie clearing of land);
- (e) provide farm records for responsible chemical and fertilizer applications, contractual supply chain agreements, crop records and history;
- (f) undertake responsible waste disposal;
- (g) provide safe and environmentally responsible storage of chemicals in accordance with ISCC requirements;
- (h) provide safe work conditions through work, health and safety compliance and staff training; and
- (i) demonstrate soil conservation, erosion prevention, preservation of soil structure, protection of natural water courses and protection of groundwater

If the property cannot meet all of the required ISCC principles each year, it cannot participate in the ISCC program or export any canola from any part of the broader faming land to the premium EU market without significant price penalties.

		City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning S Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Subn			
Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation					

	City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1					
	Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions					
Number	Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation					

Section 3.1 (at page 19) of the Amendment 18 Report states that the Proposed Site comprises less than 0.02% of available good to medium quality agricultural land within the region and relies on this as

however is undefined.

This argument however misses the point. Not only will limited agricultural activity, if any, be undertaken on the Proposed Site, the Proposed Plant will likely adversely affect adjacent land and nearby properties. If this occurs, the amount of agricultural land that will be unavailable for use or limited in its use, will be greater than 0.02%.

Given that the land the subject of the Proposed Site is zoned rural, is capable of producing primary products and such land is finite, it would be unwise to allow a use of rural land that is not for an agricultural purpose and further, may contaminate the agricultural land upon which it operates and possibly the surrounding agricultural land and water resources.

In order to continually refine and improve crop yields and the quality and quantity of produce from the location, the owners have undertaken significant research and development, for example assisting the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development (DPIRD) with soil remediation strategies, soil microbial activity trials, long term soil potash cycling trial, implementation of automated variable rate technology for chemical and fertiliser application, implementation of controlled traffic farming, annual soil nutrient and acidity testing for optimisation of applied plant nutrition strategies and revegetation of approximately 120 hectares of salt-affected land (in conjunction with LandCare grant funding).

This research and development has contributed to the ongoing success of the adjacent farming land and to the broader WA grains

its boundaries and impact the agricultural productivity are warranted. This could potentially affect the calculation of affected agricultural land.

Confirmation of the impact area and separation distances for the proposed land use is necessary.

As stated previously is considered that the assessment of the impact area, and required separation distance from the proposed industrial land use has not been appropriately demonstrated by the provision and evidence of technical assessments and modelling as detailed by SPP 4.1 and SPP 2.5.

This will confirm whether any statutory buffers are required to be included as part of the rezoning and will ensure the continuation of existing agricultural activities on adjacent land.

No change be made to the amendment in response to this submission.

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions					
Amendment No. 10 Concude of Cubinissions					
Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation				Recommendation	

	industry as a whole.	

Although it is evident that zonings by local and state governments are reflective of the land use, zonings are also reflective of the capacity47 (

			City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning S Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Subn		
				Recommendation	

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1	
Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions	

Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Recommendation

The Proposed Plant is a mining operation being conducted in an agricultural region without the usual legislative protections that would apply to a mining operation (including appropriate approvals and compensation provisions).

			City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning S Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Subn		
Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment			Recommendation		

		City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recomment				Recommendation		

Page 18 of the Rockwater Pty Ltd report (that is referred to in Appendix F - EIA) states that

fault, and a lack of groundwater extraction and observation data. It would be difficult to develop or calibrate a meaningful numerical groundwater model to assess the proposed extraction at Tenindewa. It is therefore recommended that an adaptive management approach is applied to the proposed borefield, whereby suitable trigger levels are assigned in consultation with the DWER and borefield operations respond to observed

The proponent has stated that it would be difficult to develop or calibrate a meaningful numerical groundwater model to assess the proposed extraction of the Proposed Plant, and unable to properly being able to confirm what would be a sustainable and environmentally safe limit of water extraction.

Every aspect and potential future use of water should be carefully considered and confidently calculated as part of the planning process.

will cause adverse impacts on the quality and volume of groundwater supplies and that any such impacts will be irreversible.

For example, extraction of water from further afield and leaching from evaporation ponds from the Proposed Plant will further recharge the local water table and will add to and exacerbate local salinity.

In addition, if the Proposed Plant proceeds, other such industries may be attracted to the area, which would place additional pressure on

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions				
Number	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Recommendation

	scarce water resources.		
	The location of the plant at the lowest point may cause water erosion will traverse into adjacent land. Photos provided with submission that demonstrate water run off and soil erosion within property that has already occurred as a consequence of the project.	The management of on-site stormwater retention is applicable to the development stage of a proposal.	No change be made to the amendment in response to this submission
,	The Indarra Spring Nature Reserve abuts the eastern boundary of the Proposed Site. The proponent does not address the effects that the Proposed Plant will have on the flora, fauna and water in the Indarra Spring Nature Reserve.	The applicant has addressed the Indarra Spring Nature Reserve as part of the EIA. The Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions have not raised any concerns.	'

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1	
Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions	

Number Submitter Nature of Submission Comment Recommendation

		City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning S Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Subn		
Number	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Recommendation
			<u> </u>	

		submission.

It would appear that ther

	City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions								
Number	Submitter	Nature of Submission	Comment	Recommendation					

planning consideration as part of the scheme	
amendment process.	

There are other rural locations that could be explored, including near Pindar.

City of Greater Geraldton

City of Greater Geraldton – Local Planning Scheme No. 1 Amendment No. 18 – Schedule of Submissions

Number